

If you only take away a few things out of our time together....

- Risk management is a multi-variable construct that is a mix of science and policy
- Today, Councils often do not engage in risk management, though they say they are
 - Existing policy approaches polarize economic and biological risk.
 The politics often stand in the way.
- More policy pathways for dealing with risk, combined with formalized decision frameworks, could help Councils to better manage risk



Risk or Uncertainty?

Consequences: What's the cost of being wrong

- 1. Is the stock at healthy levels of abundance, or is it overfished?
- Does the stock grow quickly or slowly?
- 3. Does it play a key role in the ecosystem?

165°00°E 170°00°E 175°00°E 180°00° 175°00°W 170°00°W 165°00°W

Types of risk relevant to fisheries

Bering Sea

Pribilof

Alaska

Bristol Bay

Biological and ecological

Kamchatka

Tied to measures of stock status and a stock's trophic role

- Does the stock care if it's at B_{msy} or some other level?
- Are the populations of one species substantially affected by the status of another?

Economic

Tied to the ability to generate MSY

- Do we risk reducing the productive potential of a stock?
- Do we risk going into a rebuilding mode?
 - Mixed stock fisheries: will this become a choke species?
 - How long will it take to rebuild?
- Short term yield vs. Long durability

170°00°E 175°00°E 180°00° 175°00°W 170°00

Do Councils really manage risk?

Consider:

- Fully developed fishery
- Data poor assessments
- Following Pstar approach would result in dramatic reductions in ABC

Council's decision is either:

- A) Reduce risk to stock abundance by following Pstar approach and restricting fisheries, or
- B) Avoid short term economic consequences by refusing to follow Pstar approach and setting ABC near OFL and arguing that this is a conscious decision of a risk-policy

We can't eliminate political pressure, but we can help frame the issue and identify options which make conservation actions more palatable

1. Frame the issue

- A. Risk-based management instead of uncertainty
 - Risk to stock status:
 - chance it will go into overfished status?
 - How long of a rebuilding period might we face if it does?
 - Ecological risks
 - Economic risks

We can't eliminate political pressure, but we can help frame the issue and identify options which make conservation actions more palatable

- 2. Risk management tools should match the situation
 - Resilience:
 - can we foster resilience with management tools?
 - Adaptive management:
 - are stock assessments done
 frequently enough to help minimize risk via course corrections?
 - Avoidance:
 - Implement catch buffers to reduce chance of unknowingly exceeding OFL
 - Others?

How does one establish a risk policy?

Acceptable levels of risk can be established through a structured framework

- Itemize potential consequences
- Identify our tolerance for those consequences
 - Based on economic, biological, and ecological considerations
- Identify the chances of those outcomes occurring
 - Based on several factors like SA uncertainty, existing stock status, management capabilities, etc

A structured risk management framework could help identify risk management approaches

Does age structure substantially affect productivity?

> spatial management tools

Questions about trajectory of stock?

>frequent assessments allow for adaptability

Key points

- Risk management is an important aspect of fishery management
- A variety of factors need to be overcome for risk management to be used responsibly and effectively
 - Communication with policy makers about the possible consequences of their actions
 - Decision making framework that encompasses policy and scientific aspects of risk
 - Identification of, and guidance on how to use, a suite of approaches for dealing with risk